

Reply to petition, of 24th April 1905 - from
Ahmad Tibi Sal the sister of the late Nawab,
Savarner

no 3866 of 1905.

Dhawal 29th May 1905.

The petitioner is informed that
loan applied for cannot be granted.

sd/ Secy Secy.

P. Agent

Savarner

Through the A.D. Agent Savarner.

no 252 of 1905.

1st June 1905.

Forwarded.

sd/ J. Montague
A.D. Agent.

Through the Divan of Savarner.

no 27 of 1905 - Savarner
6th June 1905

Forwarded.

M

M

O. Divan Savarner

Petition of 10th Sept 05 from Ahmad Bibi, sister
of the late Nawab A. Tabrezkhan Sahab, for
giving her some ^{pensionary} aid from the state (a free
grant of Rs 670) for the liquidation of her
debt &c.

Khawari was

No 504 of 05 Dated 15th Sept 05.

Forwarded to the Divan of Savanor
for report through the A.P. Agent.

To be returned.

Sol. M.C. Gibb.
P. Agent

No 540 of 1905. Savanor.

17th Nov 1905.

Resubmitted with compliments.

2). The petitioner's statement is enclosed.
She states that, about 4 or 5 years ago, she
borrowed Rs 670, on pledging two gold Wakis in
(armlets) weighing 24 Tolas & belonging to her
husband, from her brother-in-law A. Karimkhan,
that she was disappointed in her hopes
of redeeming the Wakis, as she got no share
according to the Mahomedan law, in her
father's estate lately handed over to her A.

Karimkhan, that when she was pressed by her brother for the return of his money, her maternal uncles, Ahmedkhan & Faiz Ahmedkhan, paid the money & redeemed the Wakis (which are now with them) at her request, that she is being pressed by her husband to return his Wakis & that, therefore, she may kindly be given a free grant of Rs 670/- to enable her to redeem the Wakis from her maternal uncles and to return them to her husband.

3) It is true that free grants have been made by the State in the instances quoted by the petitioner.

4) The petitioner further states that beyond Rs 1000/- expended from her deceased father's ~~husband~~ estate, on account of her marriage, she got nothing, that her husband lost what little income he had at Bijapur, as he was bound by a written agreement to stay at Savur after she was married to him & that as these are the days of scarcity

and as she is in embarrassed circumstances,
she may be given a grant of Rs 674.

5) That the petitioner got no share in
her father's estate lately handed over to
Mr. Karimkhan, that she & her husband
are not in good circumstances & are
struggling for existence & that there have
been instances of grants made to the
relations of the Nawab, are facts.

6) The petitioner is the sister of the young
Nawab's father: Mr. Nasarudin, the husband
of the petitioner, has three daughters & one
son, all to be educated & married, & is a
well behaved gentleman & any help given
to him or his wife cannot be misplaced.
The Asst. Mr., therefore, respectfully recommend
that the grant applied for may be
sanctioned.

—
Rhu
or Divan Sar

Through the A.P. Agent.

No 556 of 1905.

Dwr. 21 Nov 05.

Returned.

The applt. I believe recently requested a sum of money to enable her to bring a suit agt Mr Karimkhan regarding the family property. In that appln she made no mention of her indebtedness. The Divan is asked to send those pps & also to forward the statements of the applicants creditors regarding the debt of Rs. 670.

Sd/- J. Montagu.
A.P. Agent.

No 572 of 1905.

Savannur
11th Decr 05.

Retnd with confilto.

2). The statements of applt's creditors are enclosed as desired.

3). The pps refer to were retnd with this office No 235 of 15-5-05 & a reply was given to the petitioner by the A.P. Agt in his No 252 of 1-6-05. The pps appear to ^{have been} filed in the P.M. office.


Divan Savr.

Read corree ending with the A.P. Alent, ~~the~~
Savanur no 611 of 16-12-05, regarding —
petition from Ahumadibisahel for a grant
from the Savanur State.

Order

The P. Alent regrets that he is unable
to comply with the lady's request for pecuniary
aid from the State. She has been so info.

Sd/- C. Hudson
Colr. P. Alent of Dsr
no 8711 of 1905.

Dsr 23rd Decr 05
Copy forwarded to the A.P. Alent,
Savr., with refce to his no. 611 of 16-12-05 for
info.

Sd/- C. Hudson
P. Agents
Dsr.
no 4 of 1906.
Savr. 6 Jan 1906

Forwarded to the Divan of Savr. for
info & retn with refce to his no 641 of the
27th Novr 05.

(Please retn).

Sd/- H. Painter.

no 33 of 1906.

Savanur
17th January 06.

Retnd with compliments.

M
cc Divan Savr

M

under a. p. agent's Memo No. 86 on the
30 / 12 / 01

application of sardar Badamal Khargada
complaining that ~~the services of~~ ^{the} shobies have not
~~been working for the last 4 months.~~

No. 110 of 1901

17 Feb 1901

Resubmitted to the a. p. agent.

2 The application of the two shobies of the
Badamal ~~for an increase in~~
emoluments ~~has been resubmitted~~ ^{was submitted} to the a. p. agent.

under this office ~~reference~~ ^{order} No. 21 June
1900 ~~which is not yet disposed of.~~ ^{in which orders are awaited}
The applicants have ~~discontinued their services~~ ^{ceased to serve} since
sep 1900 and ~~the work is too heavy to be done~~

~~no more on the pay of Rs. 3~~ none could be induced
to accept this employment ^{on a monthly pay}
favor of ~~early disposal of~~ ^{early orders} the matter is therefore requested

of. D. S. Khargada

H. K. K. K.

Please show the Burmish entry
my: the paper quoted above.

I presume that the Bhangit &
Bhicti have recd an increase
in their remuneration. Please
let me see the orders under which
the increase was sanctioned. If
this is so, what reason is there
to disallow any increase in the
remuneration of the bookman?

Please show all p.c. in
my return to Savanary.

13/2/1901.

Have seen all p.c.

D. J. J.

17/2/1901

Also
that
bookman
the proper
increase to
induce the
bookman
to resume
the work.

Kharajdas No: 181 of 1901

Savner 18.3.1901

To,

The a.p. agent,

Bharwar.

Rs,

With reference to The Vernacular
correspondence ending with your No 8-5-4
28 February 1901 (returned herewith) I have the
honor to submit ^{the following} ~~the~~ report ~~as follows~~:-

2 The applicants are whories working in
the households of Bada Mahal Kharajdas on a
monthly salary of Rs 3 paid by the state. They
~~ask~~ ^{ask} for an increase in their emolument
on the ground that the work has become ^{now} ~~very~~ ^{too} heavy
owing to the increase in
~~consequent on the increase in~~ the number of
households of ~~each household~~ ^{as well as in the no of members of} the whories have been drawing
this pay ~~at least~~ since July 1859 when the
agreements were executed between the hawab
Abdul balebkhan biki gang Bahadur and the
Kharajdas of 6 different buffas. There is no doubt

that the work of the bhoies has ^{increased} ~~become~~ four ~~times~~ of ~~what it was~~ ^{than it was} ~~as compared with that~~ in 1859 and ~~it is but just for~~ ^{their claim for} an increase in remuneration ~~seems~~ ^{would seem to be} ~~that they should get suitable remuneration for~~ well-framed ~~their services~~. Looking to ~~the present~~ ^{present} work devolving on them

a monthly salary of Rs 12 now demanded by them cannot be said to be ^{excessive} ~~unreasonable~~. None would ^{probably} ~~would~~ be ^{willing} ~~would~~ to ^{do the work} ~~accept~~ ^{the employ-} ~~ment~~ ^{ment} for lesser emolument.

3 The Khayadas claim the services of Hafis, Bhoie, Bhisti & Bhainge under the terms of the agreements of 1859. ~~They say~~ ^{through all the previous agreements}, The late Mirans Messrs Morab & Chowde have expressed their opinions that none of the Khayadas are entitled to the ^{then} ~~services~~ ^{services} here ~~claim~~ ^{claim} under the impression that ~~since~~ The Nawab executing the agreements ~~had~~ ^{could} no authority nor good reason to bind the State beyond his own life time with such terms as would subject it to heavy prospective charges and that even if it be taken for ^{fixed} ~~the~~

~~that~~ ~~the~~ ~~Kharijadas~~ that he had such authority
reason, the Kharijadas of Daffa had in
whose agreement the words 'Awlad Afwad'
occur would appear to be the ~~the~~ ~~employment of~~
~~services~~, ~~and~~ entitled to the services ~~of~~ ~~the~~

~~at~~ at the state expense and the desired
(who were parties to the agreement)
of the Kharijadas of the other Daffas ~~to~~

would appear to have
~~no~~ have no such title as the words

'Awlad Afwad' are not used in their agree-
ments in connection with their services.

~~4~~ Taking all the circumstances into con-
sideration, it seems advisable that it should
be left to the Nawab to finally decide the question
of title of the Kharijadas to the hereditary employ-
ment of the services, after he assumes the
management of the state.

~~5~~ If at all the Kharijadas are entitled, they
are entitled to the employment of the services but
not to any fixed amount or a/c of them. I am
of opinion that the state should therefore
continue to provide the services whatever they may
cost until the settlement of the question by the

I concur with
Lauab. Mr Anderson is ~~right in thinking~~ that
stopping of the services ~~during the war~~ would provoke
great ill feeling among the Kharyas.